

Case one: Marissa

50 year old nurse

- Abdominal pain, constipation and bloating for 3 years
- No rectal bleeding, diarrhoea or weight loss
- Background:
 - Type 1 diabetes
 - Normal colonoscopy 2023

• Examination:

- BMI 36kg/m², looks well.
- Centrally obese, otherwise abdominal examination unremarkable
- Started a gluten free diet after being recommended by friends
 - Perhaps a slight improvement
 - "Do I have IBS?"



Mistake #1: Clinical features of CD changed over time...

n= 770 CD pts diagnosed and followed at the Center for Coeliac Disease, St. Orsola Hospital, Bologna (1998-2012)



In adults, **gluten challenge** should be proposed in patients with uncertain CD diagnosis who have been started on a gluten free diet

No universal protocol: 3g of gluten per day 2 weeks sufficient for most patients but some protocols up to 10g of gluten per day 6-8 weeks

4x slices of wheat based bread or equivalent 4-8 weeks – Coeliac NZ

Full blood co rate, and co Faecal calb

HLA-DQ2/DQ8 is a good rule out test ~99% NPV *i.e. only useful if negative*

Used in the setting of discordant

serology and histopathology, or if

Q: What would you do next?

- 1) Refer for colonoscopy and gastroscopy
- 2) Repeat Coeliac serology after gluten challenge unable to undergo gluten challenge
- 3) Reassure her without further investigations
- 4) Refer for HLA DQ2 DQ8 testing
- 5) Start a low FODMAP diet for IBS

Positive does not mean CD

Some studies positivity in up to 47.7% DQ2 and/or DQ8

Case one: Marissa

Mistake #2: Do not establish a CD diagnosis based on GFDinduced symptom improvement / resolution

- Mainly seen in primary care
- Physicians should <u>not</u> advise patients to start GFD <u>before testing</u> <u>them thoroughly</u>
- If a diagnosis of CD has been ruled out (by appropriate investigations), the persistence of intestinal / extraintestinal symptoms induced by gluten might suggest NCG/WS



Q: Which statement is most correct regarding diagnosis of Coeliac disease?

- 1) Duodenal biopsies is the gold standard and always required
- 2) Biopsies alone is sufficient for the diagnosis of coeliac disease
- 3) In adults, a combination of serology and duodenal biopsies is required
- 4) Biopsies are useful to exclude Non-coeliac gluten/wheat sensitivity
- 5) Biopsies are not required if symptoms improve with a GFD

Symptoms typically resolve within a month but **serology is unlikely to be normal by 6 months and can take up to 2-3 years to normalize**. **Mucosal healing can lag serological response** *in one study, the median time to achieve a normal villous height was 3.8 years*.

Repeating antibodies routinely at 12 months is recommended regardless of symptoms. If levels fall and symptoms resolve \rightarrow reassurance

Follow up biopsies are not universally recommended but may be reasonable after 2 years of GFD in high risk patients

But...elevation of antibodies after normalization may suggest gluten reexposure. Persistently stable positive serology suggest inadvertent gluten exposure, and dietican input may be helpful



Q: Which statement is INCORRECT regarding Coeliac disease?

- 1) First degree relatives of affected individuals should be tested
- 2) Serology and histology always return to normal within 1 year of GFD
- 3) Complications of Coeliac disease can be avoided with a GFD
- 4) A life-long gluten free diet is the only effective treatment
- 5) Inadvertent exposure to gluten is very common

Case two: Eliza

Marissa's asymptomatic 21 year old daught	
---	--

Eliza comes to see you for testing.

Coeliac serology after a gluten diet reveals:

- IgA tTG 11U/ml (<15) Normal
- IgA 0.3g/L (0.8-4.0) LOW
- Endomysial antibodies negative

IgA tTG and IgA EmA are highly sensitive and specific tests for Coeliac disease

	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	PPV %	NPV %
IgA tTG	98	90	91	98
IgA EmA	95	100	100	95

However, around **7% of Coeliac patients have IgA deficiency**, and will be negative. **DGP (deamidated gliadin peptides) IgG** would be the next step

True seronegative Coeliac disease is rare 2-8%

Q: Which statement is most correct?

IgA AGA are no longer useful in diagnosis and follow up

- 1) Coeliac disease cannot be excluded
- 2) IgA tTG and IgA EmA are not very sensitive for Coeliac dis
- 3) IgA deficiency is uncommon in Coeliac disease
- 4) Anti-gliadin antibodies are useful in diagnosis of Coeliac
- 5) Seronegative Coeliac disease is common

0	Berger E. et al. Rlin Wschr. 42, 788-90, 1964
2)	Anti-Reticulin (ARA) Sean PP et al Lancet. 25 (7726): 681-2, 1971
3)	Anti-Endomisial (EMA) Chorzelski TP. ej al. Br J Dermatol. 111, 395-402, 1984
4)	Anti-Translutaminase (a-tTG) Dieterich W. et al. Nat Med. 3: 797, 1997
5)	Anti- Deamidated gliadin peptides (a-DGP) Sugai E. et al. Clin Gastroenterol Heptol 4: 1112-7, 2006
5)	Point-of Care tests (tTG or DGP) Mooney PD. Clin Gastroenterol Heptol 2015

Anti-Gliadin (AGA)

Case two: Eliza

Mistake #4: Make CD diagnosis based on minimal lesion (Marsh 1) at histopathology

- Marsh 1, i.e. IEL >25%, does not indicate CD
- A wide array of conditions may show Marsh 1:
 - HP infection / Giardiasis
 - Autoimmune diseases
 - Drugs (NSAIDs)
 - Lactose intolerance
 - NCG / WS

- ...

Pts with Marsh 1 with +ve serology, +ve HLA-DQ2 /DQ8 are potential CD (about 10% of all Marsh 1)

Same biopsy after appropriate orientation shows normal villi architecture



Histological spectrum in CD

Normal

Q: Which statement is incorrect regarding Coeliac disease?

- 1) It can affect the small bowel in a patchy manner
- 2) Inadequate biopsies may lead to a false negative diagnosis
- 3) Partially treated disease may lead to milder changes on histology
- 4) Increased IEL (Marsh 1) is diagnostic of coeliac disease
- 5) Other conditions which can mimic Marsh 1 histology

Current diagnostic strategy and tools

Is duodenal histology the "gold standard"?

	Year	% error	Commentaries
Scandinavia *	2000	13	Over-diagnosis (1)
Netherlands *	2011	7.4	Over-diagnosis (4)
Sweden *	2011	7.3	Sub-diagnosis (5)
Argentina	2009	46	Over-diagnosis (2)
Italy	2009	20	Over-diagnosis(3)
USA	2012	25	Sub-diagnosis (6)

* Among experts of academics institutions 1- Weile et al. APMIS 2000; 2- Pinto-Sanchez et al. AGLA 2009; 3- Biaggi et al. CJG 2009; 4-Mubarack et al. SJG 2011; 5- Webb et al. JPGN 2011; 6- Arguelles-Grande et al. JCP 2012;

Syr Mistake #5: Overestimation of refractory coeliac disease in patients whose symptoms persist on GFD

Sym

- Refractory CD (RCD) (~1% of all CD) is characterized by the lack of clinical ients or
- response and persistence of villous atrophy after at least 1 year of strict GFD

Was

Othe • RCD diagnosis is of paramount importance because it evolves to EATL and ulcerative jejuno-ileitis

Refractory coeliac disease 1-5% of Coeliac disease patients, rare <30 years old.

Can lead to Ulcerative jejunitis and intestinal lymphoma

Q: Which of these statements are correct?

- 1) GFD compliance should be reassessed
- 2) Repeat Coeliac serology and duodenal biopsies should be considered
- 3) Other enteropathies and gastrointestinal pathologies should be considered
- 4) Refractory coeliac disease is rare but can be associated with poor outcomes
- 5) All the above

Case three: Thomas

Foods and products that may contain gluten

Frequently overlooked foods that may con	tain gluten and need to be verified:
Brown rice syrup	
Breading and coating mixes	
Croutons	
Energy bars	
Flour or cereal products	
Imitation bacon	
Imitation seafood	
Marinades	
Panko (Japanese bread crumbs)	and the second sec
Pastas	
Processed luncheon meats	
Sauces, gravies	
Self-basting poultry	
Soy sauce or soy sauce solids	a state of the second of the second of the
Soup bases	a child a child
Stuffings, dressing	
Thickeners (roux)	Line La Contraction
Communion wafers	the second second
Herbal supplements	
Probiotic products*	
Drugs and over-the-counter medications	
Nutritional supplements	
Vitamins and mineral supplements	
Play-Doh, crayons, paint, glue, paper mache – A pot using these products	ential problem if the child puts their hands on or in the mouth while playing; wash hands after

* In 2015, a study of 22 commonly used probiotics revealed that 12 (55%) contained gluten, including 2 that were labeled gluten-free despite containing gluten levels higher than the 20 parts per million required for gluten-free labeling.^[1]

IOT ALLOWED in any form:	
Vheat (einkorn, durum, faro, graham, kamut, semolina, s	pelt)
ye	
arley	
riticale	
/alt, malt flavoring, malt vinegar (are generally made fro he source)	m barley; verify

Careful dietician assessment completed *Gluten free diet – no improvement*

Coeliac serology - negative Gastroscopy - persistent villous atrophy HLA DQ2 DQ8 presence

Colonoscopy normal random colon biopsies

Others: Faecal elastase, stool parasite screen, H. pylori serology, HIV, Immunoglobulins